| 1 | JUDGE FRANKLIN D. BURGESS |
|--------|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 7 | WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA |
| 8 9 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) NO. CR05-5504FDB) Plaintiff,) AMENDED |
| 10 | ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED |
| 11 | vs.) MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL) DATE WALTER BOYD McILWAIN,) |
| 12 | et al., |
| 13 | Defendants. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | Upon the stipulation of the parties to continue the trial date in the above- |
| 16 | captioned case, the Court finds that the ends of justice will be served by ordering a |
| 17 | continuance in the case, that continuing the trial date is necessary in order to allow the |
| 18 | parties to conduct plea negotiations and to allow defense counsel adequate time to |
| 19 | investigate and to effectively prepare for trial, and that these factors outweigh the best |
| 20 | interests of the public and the defendant in a more speedy trial; within the meaning of 18 |
| 21 | U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A), therefore, |
| 22 | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the trial date be continued to March 13, 2006. |
| 23 | The period of delay resulting from this continuance from December 5, 2005 up to and |
| 24 | including the new trial date of March 13, 2006 is hereby excluded for speedy trial |
| 25 | purposes under 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A) and (B) |
| 26 | |
| | FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFEND |

| 1 | IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion cutoff date in this matter shall be |
|----|---|
| 2 | continued to January 9, 2006. |
| 3 | DONE this 1 st day of December, 2005. |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | fal Me |
| 9 | |
| 10 | Franklin D Burgess UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
| 11 | Presented By: |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | /s//s/ |
| 15 | Linda R. Sullivan Gregory Gruber |
| 16 | Attorney for Defendant Assistant United States Attorney |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| | |